*III. Tender No. ER/NZR/OBG/IMP/18/27/94-95 for 35 SRP Units (ERBC)*

| | Name of Bidders | Evaluated rate per Unit (Rs) Model C456 D | Model C320 D | Model C640 D |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (i) | New Standard Engg. Bombay | 11,40,510.00 | 10,77,010.00 | 17,65,720.00 |
| (ii) | Simplex Engineering & Foundry Works Ltd., New Delhi. | 15,44,249.65 (for 16 units) 16,98,897.87 (for 01-15 units) | 12,51,756.00 (for 14 units) 13,62,153.93 (for 01-13 units) | 19,71,515.70 |
| (iii) | BPCL, Allahabad. | 12,49,422.35 | Not quoted | Not quoted |
| (iv) | China Petroleum Tech. and Development Corpn. China. | 15,83,381.00 | 13,73,919.39 | 47,26,085.38 |
| (v) | L.S. Import and Export Corpn., China | 16,00,607.28 | 12,36,052.37 | 45,60,990.15 |
| (vi) | OHI Petroleum, Oman. | 27,02,929.47 (Alt-I) 24,95,409 82 (Alt-II) | 24,99,562.68 (Alt-I) 22,92,043.03 (Alt-II) | 49,79,795.21 (Alt-I) 47,72,275.56 (Alt-II) |
| (vii) | Industrial Export, Romania | 10,20,462 40 | 9,40,980.07 | 22,17,015.08 |

*IV. Tender No. MAS/SP/OPS/I/005/94-95 for 11 SRP Units (SRBC).*

| | Name of Bidders | Evaluated rate per Unit (Rs.) Model C320 | Model C228 |
|---|---|---|---|
| (i) | M/s Quinn Associates, USA. | Failed to qualify | Failed to qualify |
| (ii) | M/s China National Machinery Equipment, Import and Export Corporation, China. | 8,98,038.21 | 7,41,042.40 |
| (iii) | M/s China Petroleum Tech. & Development Corpn , China. | Bid received late | Bid received late |
| (iv) | M/s BPCL, Allahabad. | Failed to qualify | Failed to qualify |
| (v) | M/s Greaves Ltd., Madras. | -do- | -do- |
| (vi) | M/s New Standard Engg. Co. Ltd. Bombay. | 17,02,846.20 | 13,78,192.20 |
| (vii) | M/s Simplex Engg. & Foundry Works, New Delhi | 16,03,800.00 | 12,80,400.00 |
| (viii) | M/s L.S. Import & Export of FRC, China. | 9,39,655.55 | 8,28,120.51 |

**Branch Post Offices in Gram Panchayats of U.P.**

2379. SHRI RAJ NATH SINGH: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) how many branch post offices have been sanctioned and opened, district-wise, during 1993-94 and 1994-95 in Uttar Pradesh;

(b) how many gram panchayats, in the State do not have branch post offices, district-wise; and

(c) what action Government contemplate to provide postal facilities to all those gram panchayats?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI SUKH RAM): (a) The information for the year 1993-94 is given in the enclosed Statement 'I' (*see* below). No post office has been sanctioned during 1994-95. However, there is a target of opening 12 EDBOs* and 19 DSOs** in Uttar Pradesh.

*Extra Departmental Branch Post Offices.
**Departmental Sub-Post Ofices.

(b) The information is given in the enclosed Statement 'II' (*see* below).

(c) Post offices are opened in gram panchayat areas, subject to fulfilment of departmental norms and availability of resources. Preference is, however, given to panchayats without post offices.

**Statement 'I'**

*Details of number of Branch Post Offices sanctioned and opened during 1993-94, District-wise, in Uttar Pradesh.*

| Sl. No. | Name of District | Post offices sanctioned & opened 1993-94 |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1. | Agra | 1 |
| 2. | Aligarh | 1 |
| 3. | Allahabad | 4 |
| 4. | Almora | 2 |
| 5. | Azamgarh | 1 |
| 6. | Budaun | 2 |
| 7. | Bareilly | 1 |
| 8. | Bijnore | 2 |
| 9. | Basti | 2 |
| 10. | Ballia | 2 |
| 11. | Bahraich | 2 |
| 12. | Barabanki | 9 |
| 13. | Chamoli | 1 |
| 14. | Deoria | 1 |
| 15. | Fatehpur | 2 |
| 16. | Farrukhabad | 1 |
| 17. | Faizabad | 4 |
| 18. | Ghazipur | 2 |
| 19. | Ghaziabad | 3 |
| 20. | Gorakhpur | 4 |
| 21. | Gonda | 5 |
| 22. | Jaunpur | 3 |
| 23. | Kheri | 2 |
| 24. | Kheri (Tribal) | 2 |
| 25. | Kanpur City | 2 |
| 26 | Kanpur (M) | 2 |
| 27. | Lucknow | 4 |
| 28. | Mainpuri | 1 |
| 29. | Mirzapur | 3 |
| 30. | Moradabad | 2 |
| 31. | Muzaffarnagar | 1 |
| 32. | Pratapgarh | 1 |
| 33. | Pithoragarh | 4 |
| 34. | Pauri | 1 |
| 35. | Raebareli | 4 |
| 36. | Sitapur | 2 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|
| 37. | Sultanpur | 3 |
| 38. | Tehri | 4 |
| 39. | Unnao | 1 |
| 40. | Varanasi | 1 |
| | Total : | 95 |

**Statement II**

***District-wise** number of Gram Panchayat without BOs*

| Sl. No. | Name of District | Name of Gram Panchayat without BOs. |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1. | Kanpur City | 77 |
| 2. | Kanpur Dehat | 729 |
| 3. | Unnao | 697 |
| 4. | Fatehpur | 548 |
| 5. | Farrukhabad | 669 |
| 6. | Banda | 475 |
| 7. | Hamirpur | 339 |
| 8. | Allahabad | 1376 |
| 9. | Ghazipur | 668 |
| 10. | Jaunpur | 1120 |
| 11. | Pratapgarh | 753 |
| 12. | Mirzapur | 606 |
| 13. | Sonbhadra | 325 |
| 14. | Varanasi | 904 |
| 15. | Almora | 179 |
| 16. | Kheri | 644 |
| 17. | Hardoi | 836 |
| 18. | Bareilly | 831 |
| 19. | Budaun | 833 |
| 20. | Shahjahanpur | 678 |
| 21. | Nainital | 299 |
| 22. | Pilibhit | 429 |
| 23. | Pithoragarh | 212 |
| 24. | Moradabad | 1135 |
| 25. | Rampur | 456 |
| 26. | Barabanki | 787 |
| 27. | Lucknow | 353 |
| 28. | Faizabad | 791 |
| 29. | Sultanpur | 798 |
| 30. | Raebareli | 543 |
| 31. | Sitapur | 972 |
| 32. | Azamgarh | 1261 |
| 33. | Mau | 363 |
| 34. | Gorakhpur | 890 |
| 35. | Basti | 1238 |
| 36. | Sidharthnagar | 835 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|
| 37. | Maharajganj | 527 |
| 38. | Gonda | 1215 |
| 39. | Ballia | 481 |
| 40. | Bahraich | 847 |
| 41. | Deoria | 699 |
| 42. | Agra | 379 |
| 43. | Aligarh | 704 |
| 44. | Bulandshahar | 651 |
| 45. | Etah | 609 |
| 46. | Etawah | 570 |
| 47. | Jhansi | 271 |
| 48. | Jalaun | 318 |
| 49. | Lalitpur | 184 |
| 50. | Mathura | 373 |
| 51. | Mainpuri | 353 |
| 52. | Firozabad | 368 |
| 53. | Pujnore | 678 |
| 54. | Chamoli | 23 |
| 55. | Dehradun | 66 |
| 56. | Ghaziabad | 350 |
| 57. | Meerut | 390 |
| 58. | Muzaffarnagar | 413 |
| 59. | Pauri Garhwal | 64 |
| 60. | Saharanpur | 601 |
| 61. | Tehrigarhwal | 229 |
| 62. | Uttarkashi | 77 |
| 63. | Haridwar | 216 |
| 64. | Bhadoi | 445 |
| 65. | Padrauna | 785 |
| 66. | Mahoba | Not available |
| | Total: | 37535 |

**Waiting List for Telephone Connections in Madhya Pradesh**

2380. SHRI DILIP SINGH JUDEV: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) what was the plan outlay for telecommunications improvement in Madhya Pradesh sector for the year 1994-95;

(b) how many applications for telephone connections are in the waiting list for the year ending 1994, in Bhopal, Indore, Raipur, Jabalpur, Ratlam and Satna districts;

(c) whether any steps/measures have been taken to accommodate the waitlisted applicants in the near future;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI SUKH RAM): (a) Rs. 340 crores.

(b) The number of applications is given below:

Bhopal—7112
Indore—18598
Raipur—4146
Jabalpur—2389
Ratlam—569
Satna—1997

(c) to (e) The target is to provide 57000 new telephone connections in Madhya Pradesh during 1994-95, including in the towns mentioned above.